

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/695,775	10/30/2003	Cengiz S. Ozkan	034044.025	6901	
75	90 04/15/2005		EXAMINER		
Suzannah K. Sundby			HARRISON, MONICA D		
Smith, Gambrel	l & Russell				
Suite 800			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
1850 M Street, 1	N.W.		. 2813		
Washington, Do	C 20036		DATE MAILED: 04/15/2009	DATE MAILED: 04/15/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

.3			A			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/695,775	OZKAN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Monica D. Harrison	2813				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover she	et with the correspondence address	••			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, r y within the statutory minimum will apply and will expire SIX (6 e, cause the application to become	nay a reply be timely filed of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. ) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic me ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	cation.			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 C	October 2003.					
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This	, <del></del>					
·	ition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935	6 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims	,					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-17 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideratio					
Application Papers	•					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 30 October 2003 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	e: a)⊠ accepted or b drawing(s) be held in a tion is required if the dra	beyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). awing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.1				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	,					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received ts have been received ority documents have u (PCT Rule 17.2(a))	I. I in Application No been received in this National Stage	<b>;</b>			
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Pap	view Summary (PTO-413) er No(s)/Mail Date ce of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)  Othe	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *				

Application/Control Number: 10/695,775 Page 2

Art Unit: 2813

## DETAILED ACTION

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-4, 7-9, 15 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Lieber et al (6,743,408 B2).

- 1. Regarding claim 1, Lieber et al discloses a heterojunction comprising at least one carbon nanotube and at least one nanostructure connected, immobilized, attached, or affixed thereto (column 12, lines 25-49; Figures 8A-8D).
- 2. Regarding claim 2, Lieber et al discloses wherein the carbon nanotube is a single walled carbon nanotube having a length of about 20 nm to about 2000 nm (Figure 15A).
- 3. Regarding claim 3, Lieber et al discloses wherein the carbon nanotube is a multi-walled nanotube having a length of about 40 nm to about 4000 nm (column 11, lines 21-30).
- 4. Regarding claim 4, Lieber et al discloses wherein the nanostructure is a quantum dot or a quantum cluster comprising a plurality of quantum dots (column 13, lines 9-22).
- 5. Regarding claim 7, Lieber et al discloses one carbon nanotube having one nanostructure connected, immobilized, attached, or affixed to one end of the carbon nanotube (Figures 8A-8D).

Application/Control Number: 10/695,775 Page 3

Art Unit: 2813

6. Regarding claim 8, Lieber et al discloses one carbon nanotube having two nanostructures connected, immobilized, attached, or affixed to each end of the carbon nanotube (column 3, lines 34-37; MWNT).

- 7. Regarding claim 9, Lieber et al discloses at least two carbon nanotubes having a nanostructures connected, immobilized, attached, or affixed to one end of each of the carbon nanotubes (column 1, lines 17-57; Figure 3C; nanotubes are nanostructures).
- 8. Regarding claim 15, Lieber et al discloses a nanodevice which comprises the heterojunction of claim 1 (Figures 9A-9C; column 12, lines 50-67 thru column 13, lines 1-8).
- 9. Regarding claim 16, Lieber et al discloses at least one nanostructure selected from the group consisting of photoactive molecules, photonic molecules, inorganic ions, inorganic molecules, magnetic ions, magnetic molecules, metallic ions, metallic molecules, metallic colloids, metal oxide molecules, polymers, aptamers, haptens, radioactive molecules, fluorophores, chromophores, chemiluminescent molecules, nanowires, nanofibers, quantum dots, nucleotides, nucleic acid molecules, polynucleotides, amino acids, peptides, polypeptides, proteins, and peptide nucleic acids (column 6, lines 10-50; *metallic colloid*).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 5, 6, 10, 13 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lieber et al (6,743,408 B2) in view of Wong et al (6,875,274 B2).

Art Unit: 2813

2nS capped CdSe, Cdse or TiO<sub>2</sub> (claim 5), CdSe core and ZnS shell (claim 6), oxidizing the ends of the carbon nanotube, placing at least one amine group on the nanostructure, and coupling at least one end of the carbon nanotube with the nanostructure (claim 10), 2-aminoethanethiolhydrochloride (claim 13) and wherein coupling the end of the carbon nanotube with the nanostructure comprises adding l-ethyl-3- (3-dimethylainopropyl) carbodiimide HCL in the presence of N-hydroxysuccinimide to form a sulfosuccinimidyl intermediate that is capable of forming an amide bond with the amine group on the nanostructure (claim 14).

Wong et al discloses the quantum dot is ZnS capped CdSe, Cdse or TiO<sub>2</sub> (column 5, lines 64-67 thru column 6, lines 1-9), CdSe core and ZnS shell (front page of patent; Dabbousi et al), oxidizing the ends of the carbon nanotube, placing at least one amine group on the nanostructure, and coupling at least one end of the carbon nanotube with the nanostructure (claim 10), 2-aminoethanethiolhydrochloride (column 8, lines 38) and wherein coupling the end of the carbon nanotube with the nanostructure comprises adding l-ethyl-3-(3-dimethylainopropyl) carbodiimide HCL in the presence of N-hydroxysuccinimide to form a sulfosuccinimidyl intermediate that is capable of forming an amide bond with the amine group on the nanostructure (column 8, lines 47-54).

Since Lieber et al and Wong et al are both from the same field of endeavor, the purpose disclosed by Wong et al would have been recognized as the pertinent are of Lieber et al.

It is obvious, at the time the invention was made, for one with ordinary skill in the art, to modify Lieber et al with the teachings of Wong et al, for the purpose of providing a heterostructure which includes a carbon nanotube covalently linked to at least one nanocrystal.

Claims 11 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lieber et al (6,743,408 B2) and Wong et al (6,875,274 B2) further in view of Fisher et al (6,203,814 B1).

11. Lieber et al and Wong et al disclose the subject matter of claim 10, which depends from claim 1, however, neither Lieber et al nor Wong et al disclose refluxing the carbon nanotube in an acid (claim 11) and the acid being nitric acid (claim 12).

Fisher et al discloses refluxing the carbon nanotube in an acid (column 12, line 46)) and the acid being nitric acid (column 3, lines 21-22)

Since Lieber et al, Wong et al, and Fisher et al are all from the same field of endeavor, the purpose disclosed by Fisher et al would have been recognized in the pertinent art of Lieber et al and Wong et al.

It is obvious, at the time the invention was made, for one with ordinary skill in the art, to modify Lieber et al and Wong et al with the teachings of Fisher et al, for the purpose of making functionalized nanotubes.

Claims 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lieber et al (6,743,408 B2) in view of Korgel et al (6,846,565 B2).

Lieber et al discloses the above claimed subject matter of claim 15, which depends from 1, however, Lieber et al does not disclose the nanodevice being a transistor, a light emitting diode, an inverter, resistor, capacitor interconnect, or biosensor (claim 17).

Korgel et al discloses the nanodevice being a transistor, a light emitting diode, an inverter, resistor, capacitor, interconnect, or biosensor (column 2, lines 66-67 thru column 3, lines 1-5; transistor, LED, or biological sensor).

Application/Control Number: 10/695,775 Page 6

Art Unit: 2813

Since Lieber et al and Korgel et al are both from the same field of endeavor, the purpose

disclosed by Korgel et al would have been recognized in the pertinent art of Lieber et al.

It is obvious, at the time the invention was made, for one with ordinary skill in the art, to

modify Lieber et al with the teachings of Korgel et al, for the purpose of light emitting

nanoparticles.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Monica D. Harrison whose telephone number is 571-272-1959.

The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:00am-3:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Carl Whitehead Jr. can be reached on 571-272-1702. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Monica D. Harrison

AU 2813

mdh

April 13, 2004

CRAIG A. THOMPSON

Cray a 2l

PRIMARY EXAMINER